1.0

2.0

In order to measure the effects of all these pollutants in the air, the lab itself has to use them so that they can see their effects on the animal models, also known as animals.

And I can tell you just about everything they used is a known carcinogen. And then there are these kind of weird — they're looking at mercury as part of an air pollutant, all these heavy metals that are in the air. And they're looking at asbestos as a pollutant in the air.

But these things have become part of the infrastructure of the building itself. So I guess, you know, we all understand how asbestos works and we know that the countertops in a lab in these older labs -- and they are older -- are made of -- okay, I'm not going to get to where I want to go in 30 seconds.

THE CHAIRMAN: I think we do understand what you are saying, a lot of the --

MS. KIKI NELSON: Yeah. Yeah, there's a lot of pollutants and I want to quote specifically -- I want to get back to what Mr. Musso was saying about the monitoring. Can I do that later?

THE CHAIRMAN: Absolutely. We'll discuss it with you.

MS. KIKI NELSON: Okay.

1	THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you so much.
2	Our next speaker, Susan Sheen. Susan.
3	MS. SUSAN SCHER: Suzanne Scher.
4	THE CHAIRMAN: All right. Take it easy,
5	Susan.
6	MS. SUSAN SCHER: There's a lot of Susans in
7	this room. I'm in one group where there's seven of
8	us.
9	THE CHAIRMAN: Trust me, you are going to
10	get there.
11	MS. SUSAN SCHER: So I'm having to switch
12	all of a sudden because my Part 1 is something I need
13	to refine. And if I don't get to it, I'm going to do
14	it at the end because
15	THE CHAIRMAN: That's fine.
16	MS. SUSAN SCHER: I was given new
17	information today.
18	So I'm Susan Scher, a 39-year resident of
19	Tuxedo, ten of which have been in Northern Tuxedo,
20	Sterling Forest.
21	I moved to the area to be near nature. It's
22	parks are important to me. Since 1987, I've been
23	involved in the efforts to protect Sterling Forest
24	lands. I was working before John came aboard.

The sanctity of the park -- the parks are

not a passing fancy for me.

Okay. Now, I have to switch. My second story relates to the Board's unwillingness to look beyond the seven acres of this project and look at the impact of an institution for 200 residents on Sterling Forest Park itself.

Seven acres may be small, but that does not mean it can't have a large impact. The Jennings fire started with just a small spark.

Why am I concerned about the impact of 200 late-adolescent young men, college students on Sterling Forest State Park?

I've been a college professor of undergraduates for more than 30 years, so I think I know a little bit about them.

I want to take you all, everybody, back in time. I'm wondering how many of you went to college and lived on campus? Okay. How many people were in your dorm room?

The project has 50 dorm rooms at approximately 289 square feet for four students. This is a room, not a suite of two bedrooms for four people, as found in many colleges today.

What did you do in the later afternoons and early evenings when you were finished with classes,

meals and studying? What did you do with your time?
Where did you go to have time alone, time informally
to meet with friends, to get exercise or fresh air?
Where did you wander? Where were the places to go on
your campus outside of your dorm room? How large was
your

THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. I'll get you back.

MS. SUSAN SCHER: So let me just say, the end of this part is tell me what does a 20 year old do with his time and energy, especially when his dorm room has three other people, when right around him is a park of open space, hiking trails, beautiful lakes across the street? A park with no staff at the lake or in the park after dark.

No impact on the park? I think not.

THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you, Susan.

MS. SUSAN SCHER: And I would like to go again.

THE CHAIRMAN: All right. Spyros, come up.

MR. SPYROS KONITSIOTIS: Sorry. My name is Spyros Konitsiotis. I'm an officer on the board of the  $\mbox{HOA}$  --

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: We can't hear you.

MR. SPYROS KONITSIOTIS: Okay. Is this better? Okay.

1 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Ye

2.0

MR. SPYROS KONITSIOTIS: In the document erroneously called Draft Expanded EAF, Part 3, responding to the project's notable inconsistency with the community character, the Applicant piles up several pages of verbiage contesting the presence of Sterling Forest State Park in the Town of Warwick Community Preservation Plan.

The park is absent they say from the plans seven target areas where preservation measures need to be enacted or improved.

The Applicant fails to mention that Sterling Forest State Park is named on the very first page of the Community Preservation Project Plan as the very model of successful preservation achieved.

The goal to which the target areas are targeted, I quote from Part 1 of that argument:

Warwick's natural beauty extends beyond its farmland to mountains, streams and lakes.

The State of New York and the Federal government have both recognized the rural and natural beauty of Warwick through acquisition of the lands known as Sterling Forest State Park and the Appalachian National Scenic Trail respectively.

Protection of Warwick's rural quality,

agricultural character and its natural environment clearly stand out as the major goals for the residents of the Town.

As many people here tonight have pointed out and will point out, the Applicant's so-called draft, which pretends to modify all the concerns notified by the Town of Warwick's Planning Department in the Part 2 is part of slight of hand, excuses and irrelevant information intended to obscure and confuse, not to enlighten, much less to show that concern has been addressed and resolved.

And it goes on.

THE CHAIRMAN: Hand this to me. Thank you so much.

> THE SECRETARY: Thank you.

> THE CHAIRMAN: Lee Schulz.

MR. LEE SCHULZ: Hi.

THE CHAIRMAN: Good evening, sir.

MR. LEE SCHULZ: I have two. Connie, I had sent you a note the other day saying I would be representing Edie in one and then I'll be representing myself.

THE SECRETARY: Everybody got the E-mails.

THE CHAIRMAN: We got it. You are going to speak now --

23

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

MR. LEE SCHULZ: So I get two three-minutes?

THE CHAIRMAN: No. At the end. I want to
give other people time. No, no, you are going to be
you. State yours and then we'll get you back up for
Edie. There's other people that signed after you.
You didn't sign Edie; correct?

MR. LEE SCHULZ: Well, I'm representing --

THE CHAIRMAN: I understand that. The person that signed behind you probably would like to speak so I'll get you there. Trust me, I will get you there.

MR. LEE SCHULZ: I crossed off the name behind me because it was my wife. Is she representing me?

THE CHAIRMAN: Go ahead and start.

MR. LEE SCHULZ: Hello. My name is Lee Schulz and I'm a resident of Warwick.

I'm here tonight to urge the Planning Board to either deny the prospective project outright or at least issue a positive declaration based on the admissions made in EAF Part 2, section 11, impact on open space and recreation.

The EAF Part 2 was prepared by Nelson Pope Voorhis, a town -- on behalf of the Town. And I quote from section 11 by -- from Nelson, under the heading

of moderate to large impact.

The project may diminish recreational resources in the adjacent state park. The answer in EAF Part 2 is not a hypothetical concern. It is a real fundamental formal admission.

Open space and recreational access are not optional amenities. They are critical elements for the environment, social and public health resources protected by state and local policies.

Under SEQRA's framework and decades of case law, the admission made in section 11 requires the lead agency -- you -- to take a hard look.

To take a hard look, that can only happen through a positive declaration and the preparation of a full EIS.

To proceed with a negative declaration despite the acknowledged potential of impact would be arbitrary and capricious and would violate the spirit and the letter of SEQRA.

Recreational resources of Sterling Forest

State Park are not trivial. They are not replaceable.

They are part of New York's preserve natural heritage.

They are recognized by conservation organizations and enjoyed by millions — enjoyed by thousands of people that visit the park over decades.

1	
1	In EAF Part 3 it is riddled with vague and
2	self-serving statements. Statements like no direct
3	disturbance to trails or recreation. Statements like
4	these are intentionally misleading.
5	SEQRA does not require a bulldozer on a
6	trail to trigger a positive declaration. It requires
7	only the potential of a significant adverse impact.
8	The Planning Board has acknowledged there is
9	the potential for an environmental impact, EAF Part 2,
.0	section 11.
.1	And guess who else agrees with you? We have
L2	written statements from the Palisades Interstate Park
L3	Commission, the Open Space Institute, and the New
L 4	York/New Jersey Trail Conferences.
L5	BOARD MEMBER MCCONNELL: Time.
16	MR. LEE SCHULZ: These aren't
17	casual comments.
18	THE CHAIRMAN: We'll get you back up.
19	MR. LEE SCHULZ: These are land and
20	conservation experts.
21	THE CHAIRMAN: Hang on one second. Mr.
22	Hull, you're next.

MR. RICHARD HULL: Oh, I am.

23

24

25

THE CHAIRMAN: Do you wish -- Lee's got it for another person. Would you let him do that or I'll

1	have you up and I'll call him back at the end?
2	MR. RICHARD HULL: Whatever is good for you
3	THE CHAIRMAN: Then read. Continue. You
4	are here. We have you on the record.
5	MR. LEE SCHULZ: Okay. Thank you.
6	THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you, Mr. Hull.
7	MR. LEE SCHULZ: Thank you, Mr. Hull.
8	MR. RICHARD HULL: Okay.
9	MR. LEE SCHULZ: So these these opinions
10	are not casual opinions. They are from land managers
11	and conservation experts.
12	Moreover the project
13	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Quiet please.
14	MR. LEE SCHULZ: Moreover, the project will
15	bring over 400 increasing moreover, the project
16	will increase the population of Sterling Forest by
17	400 percent. This increase is not a minor impact on
18	the environmental. This increase is a transforma-
19	tional impact.
20	To be blunt, the applications claim that
21	this project doesn't encroach, is legally
22	insufficient, factually misleading and environmentally
23	irresponsible.
24	In closing, there are acknowledged notential

In closing, there are acknowledged potential impacts to the state park, multiple expert agencies

have raised red flags, hundreds, hundreds of citizens have submitted letters to the Planning Board. A petition of over 1,400 names and growing across three states has been submitted to you. The public has spoken. The experts have spoken. Your own EAF acknowledges the environmental impact.

Based on the records presented to you, the information available to you, your EAS assessment in Part 2, the Board must either issue a positive declaration of significance and require a Full EIS or deny the proposal. To do otherwise would be arbitrary and capricious, and putting the Board at risk of an Article 78 claim.

THE CHAIRMAN: Lee, who was this for? I want their name on the record, that you were coming for a person. Edie?

MR. LEE SCHULZ: Edie Greenstein.

THE CHAIRMAN: Greenstein.

MR. LEE SCHULZ: That was mine. I haven't done Edie's. Told me to do mine.

THE CHAIRMAN: All right. Mr. Hull is coming now. That's not what was going on. I'm sorry. I asked Mr. Hull if he would give his time for Edie.

MR. LEE SCHULZ: I haven't done it yet.

THE CHAIRMAN: You're not going to do it.

1.8

Mr. Hull, come on. I got to give you that, Lee. That was pretty good. That was --

MR. LEE SCHULZ: You confuse me. I didn't try to be good.

BOARD MEMBER MCCONNELL: The thing is you're taking up time that other people are waiting for.

THE CHAIRMAN: Mr. Hull.

MR. RICHARD HULL: I'm Richard Hull, and I served for about two decades as your municipal historian of the Town of Warwick, which of course includes Sterling Forest. I was vice president of the Nature Conservancy in New York State for a number of years and served as a trustee. Was a founding trustee of the Orange County Land Trust. And, well, was a professor at NYU, New York University.

For seven decades I've traveled through

Sterling Forest. I know it well. I've written about

it. I researched it. And I've kind of grown up with

Sterling Forest, even though we've had our farm over

here in Warwick.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Can't hear you.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Speak to them,

please. Thank you.

MR. RICHARD HULL: I'm sorry. This is the professor in me. I often speak in that direction.

1 | Anyway --

THE CHAIRMAN: I'm sure they'd like to hear you but we need to hear you.

THE DEFENDANT: Yeah, right, okay. Exactly.

So I have looked at Sterling Forest and thought a lot about it.

Sterling Forest 140 years ago was a wasteland. It was heavily mined since before the American Revolution; played a very important role in providing critical minerals for us to fight for our independence.

But the trees were all taken down to fire the furnaces of Sterling Forest. And if you walk across the land that we're talking about today you would see a wasteland. You wouldn't hear birds. You wouldn't see species of trees, not very many certainly. You'd see a ground with very thin soil and so forth.

And I passed through it many, many times from when I was a kid growing up and I'm going to be 85 this year.

But you look at it today and it's priceless.

It has -- we've already learned tonight how many species of birds and reptiles, of just a land of soils and it's -- it's a precious thing that we have.

1.8

And it's a process of a lot of people, a lot of citizens working together to make sure that that land will remain pristine, will remain our lungs from all the oxygen that the millions of trees in Sterling Forest give out.

And -- and it's the people who worked to put that together: Institutions, individuals, foundations, the State of New Jersey, the State of New York, the federal government. There are so many entities responsible for the saving of Sterling Forest. Many of them passed away now. In fact, the majority of the key people. But we have a responsibility. That is a legacy.

And I can tell you right now as the historian of Warwick that this is a very important event that's occurring tonight and we have to make sure that we save Sterling Forest.

BOARD MEMBER MCCONNELL: Time, sir.

MR. RICHARD HULL: Thank you.

THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you, Mr. Hull.

Mariano?

MR. MARIANO KLINGE-LOY: Hello, Mr. Chairman and Board members.

My name is Mariano Klinge-Loy. I live in the Town of Warwick, specifically in Sterling Forest.

I respectfully come before you because I'm deeply troubled by the impact of the proposed residential college will have not only on the forest but also on Sterling Lake itself.

2.4

I will not repeat all the concerns previously raised before this Board. However, I would like to go on record indicating that I'm fully and agree with them.

In addition, I like to mention that I had the privilege to work with Suez and later Veolia teams responsible for the replacement of the water tank located across Old Forge Road from the old NYU site while serving as president of our Homeowners Association for Sterling Lakes in years 2021, '22 and '23.

It is important to note that said project, the water tank, did not require a full environmental study because it was an in-kind replacement of an existing structure. Still, Suez and later Veolia, went out of their way to ensure the potential impacts of the environment, including the cutting of some trees needed to replace the old water main, were kept to a minimum and resulted in no community opposition to the project.

However, the matter at hand, the development

of the proposed residential college, is far from being an in-kind use of the former NYU educational and research facility.

It would be disingenuous to the extreme to argue that this development will not alter and disturb, if not pollute and spoil the delicate environment of Sterling Forest and Sterling Lake.

The sewer upgrades and the water upgrades that will be needed for this project will require land disturbances that were already discussed and this impact should not be -- have not been addressed, should not be ignored, and should not be assumed to be de minimis.

As good neighbors, we would expect the college and the developer to do nothing but to voluntarily submit to a Full Environmental Review of the proposed plans, which they have not done so far.

So, therefore, the Planning Board should allow this project to proceed in the heart of a prized economic asset, you would be doing our community, our region and our state a huge disservice.

Such development will clearly degrade an invaluable resource for generations to come.

Accordingly, this Board should request that a hard look at the overall environmental impact of

this project be taken by an outside hydrologist, as would be required in a positive declaration.

Therefore, and to conclude, this Board must either issue a positive declaration of significance or require a full EIS to deny the proposal. Otherwise, you would be putting yourself at risk for an Article 78 claim.

Thank you for your consideration and here is my statement.

THE CHAIRMAN: Susan. Thank you.

MS. SUSAN KLINGE-LOY: My name is Susan Klinge-Loy and I also live in the Town of Warwick and Sterling Forest.

While I am for the sake of clarity and time I'm reading my statement, I can assure you that I really speak from the heart when I tell you that I am deeply troubled by the impact of this proposed residential college in Sterling Forest.

I again will not repeat all the concerns that have been raised, but I really would like to go on record that I am also agree with all these concerns.

I would like to bring up the State

Environmental Quality Review Act, section 617.7,

subsection C, item four, which cites as grounds for a

positive declaration of significance material conflict with a community's current plans or goal as officially approved or adopted.

Sterling Forest State Park indeed has a Master Plan, approved and adopted in 2001, that neither the planner or Applicant seems to have looked at.

It states that the primary vision for the park is to maintain the quality of the strategically located watershed resources, scenic open spaces and natural habitats and provide recreational opportunities for the public.

However, the Applicant failed to consider the Master Plan of Sterling Forest State Park, being satisfied not to look beyond Warwick's Community Preservation Plan and it gave a deeply flawed reading of that document.

The proposed action, the establishment of this 200-men resident facility in this locality is inconsistent with the Master Plan of Sterling Forest State Park.

In fact, I believe it would be doing our community, our region and our whole state a huge disservice.

Such a development would clearly degrade an

invaluable resource for generations to come. 1 That's why I respectfully request the Board 2 must either issue a positive declaration of 3 significance and require a full EIS or otherwise deny 4 5 the approval. Thank you so much. 6 THE CHAIRMAN: MS. SUSAN KLINGE-LOY: Thank you very much. 7 Would you take my statement? 8 THE CHAIRMAN: Yes. Absolutely. 9 Beverly Simon. 10 MS. BEVERLY SIMON: Sitting that way too 11 12 long. THE CHAIRMAN: Yeah. Should be a cushion. 13 14 MS. BEVERLY SIMON: I've got too much cushion. 15 THE CHAIRMAN: No. 16 MS. BEVERLY SIMON: Good evening all. 17 Dear respected servants of our community and 1.8 19 fellow members of Warwick, mine's on my phone because I did it in a draft. I too have written a letter, as 20 21 you are well aware. I've been a resident of the Town of Warwick 22 23 over 37 years. Just ask the ex-husband, who I managed 24 to get the -- I live in the park, too because I got 25 the cottage when we got divorced.

THE CHAIRMAN: Sorry.

MS. BEVERLY SIMON: I know it's useless information you needed to know.

THE CHAIRMAN: Maybe he'll verify it later.

MS. BEVERLY SIMON: I just asked him.

THE CHAIRMAN: That's funny.

MS. BEVERLY SIMON: This is the largest piece of protected land within 41.2 miles of New York City. Yes, I commuted there two weeks ago for work so I know.

What this worries me about is this would compromise the environment collectively of Warwick and the state, as well as parts of New Jersey, since we border it, not just for the immediate future, but as Dr. Hull pointed out, for a hundred years to come.

There is a need for only one potential adverse impact to the community. With that increased population, that would put an issue of concern and safety for all residents in that hamlet.

Case in point, we had a tornado microburst touch down in May. I was without power for five days. It was fixed quickly, thank you, to the local servants. When my father was still alive, he fell, and it took two hours for a police officer to come to my aid, but I got a neighbor. These are two examples.

And then just to touch on the endangered
species, two and a half years ago there was a
rattlesnake on my step. I've been there for 17
years I mean 14 years. That's the only time I saw
a rattlesnake there. But it's an endangered species.
Yes, I broke my ankle. Yes, I wanted to kill it.
Yes, I was told I can't.

Thank you, sir. And as everyone has said before, based on this record of everything that's been presented to the Board and the community at large, and the information available, I respectfully request you take a very deep look and request a full and complete EIS or deny the proposal.

THE CHAIRMAN: Time. Thank you.

MS. BEVERLY SIMON: And of course violation would be Article 78. Thank you.

THE CHAIRMAN: Rose Gatti. Rose?

 $\ensuremath{\mathsf{MS}}.$  ROSE GATTI: Declining to comment at this time.

THE CHAIRMAN: Steve Gatti?

MR. STEVE GATTI: Declining, too.

THE CHAIRMAN: Kerry Coyne. Kerry?

MR. KERRY COYNE: Good evening. My name is Kerry Coyne. I'm a homeowner in the Town of Warwick.

Throughout my life my interactions with the

Applicant community have been very unsavory. My parents' first home was in the Town of South Blooming Grove. Once a great place for people to buy a first home, now completely unrecognizable, with unkempt houses, lawns and litter strewn everywhere. We then moved --

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Quiet, please.

 $$\operatorname{MR}.$$  KERRY COYNE: We then moved to Cornwall, where I was raised.

In 2003 some wells came up for sale and the Town couldn't scrounge together the \$13,000 that was needed to purchase them. A straw buyer did. They were then given to the United Talmudical Academy of Kiryas Joel. Lots of drama ensued with lawsuits over illegal pipelines being built, water rights. But the fact of the matter is that the Town's water stability was permanently impacted.

Many have heard about the horror stories from boards not so dissimilar from yours in towns like Ramapo which in 2009 faced a hostile takeover.

The newly formed school board proceeded to completely gut the public schools' budgets year after year; fire hundreds of staff, ending school sports, after-school clubs, AP courses, and pretty much everything else that you needed to have a functioning

Ŀ

public school.

They reauthorized taxpayer funds towards the private yeshivas and very notably to our topic, exploited a New York State law, forcing public tax dollars to transport yeshiva students for free.

There isn't much information about the proposed school, but NICHE.com, a website that shares information about colleges, states that it is based out of Monsey and has a four -- yes, four percent graduation rate.

If the school is not in the business of producing graduates to go on and be some productive member of society, I wonder what they are in the business of.

They teach only one major, Talmudic and Rabbinical studies. A quote from these texts: If a Jew be called upon to explain any part of the rabbinic books, you have to only give a false explanation. Whoever violate this order shall be put to death.

There are many more lines which are entirely unsuitable for quoting here from these books and I encourage everyone to do a little research themselves on these texts that the school will be teaching.

These statements are not meant to come off as antisemitism but rather reflect my personal

1 dealings with very specific communities. 2 We, as residents, owe each other to look out 3 for one another. You, as the Board, fall into that 4 same group. 5 I want what's best for my family, neighbors 6 and town at large, and you have a duty as the Planning 7 Board to do the same. 8 Many once-loved communities have been 9 destroyed by people pretending to be good neighbors. 10 Don't let your cohort be the ones that put the Town of 11 Warwick on a similar path. 12 Thank you. 13 THE CHAIRMAN: All right. Please. Please. 14 Don Bayer? Bayer? Don? 15 MR. DON BAYNE: Don Bayne? 16 THE CHAIRMAN: Don Bayne. Well, sorry --17 the writing. 18 MR. DON BAYNE: Hi. I'm Don Bayne. 19 founder of the Friends of Sterling Forest and was the 20 president, and I've been researching Sterling Forest 21 for 26 years.

I found the forge that made the West Point chain, which stopped the Revolutionary War. We did an archaeological dig there and we have now had the archaeological company that came to the site is

2.2

23

24

submitting it to become a National historic site.

If that happens, we have a trail that is there. That trail was basically there when they were making the great chain. By hiking it now it will not hurt the forest, it will not hurt the animals because Sterling Forest has always been a place for people to go hiking and enjoying the forest.

So I just want to make it clear that the historic site will become a National historic site and I fear the fact that if a place is built and let people there all over the places walking what the site may do is go through some terrible, terrible sights.

There will be no parking lot added. There will be no buildings needed and very little environmental impact. It will just be the existing trail for people to come to the park and walk through it.

And I don't know what would happen if we had so many people there going through the site, and doing actual more digs and scrapping through the archaeological sites.

There were 17 forged sites. I found 15 of them. We hired Strata Archaeological Company to come and do an archaeological dig on each of the sites.

All of the artifacts found are now at Sterling Forest.

1	They will be soon displayed to the public. And if
2	somebody wants to see the site, you just go walk the
3	trail and there will no destruction.
4	THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you.
5	Jeffrey Marchand. Jeffrey?
6	MR. DON BAYNE: Just so you know, this is
7	the archeological report.
8	MR. JEFFREY MARCHAND: I'm going to cede my
9	time.
10	THE CHAIRMAN: Hold on one second, sir.
11	Jeffrey?
12	MR. JEFFREY MARCHAND: I'm going to cede my
13	time. Thank you.
14	THE CHAIRMAN: Okay.
15	MR. DON BAYNE: There was this is the
16	archeological report done by Strata Archeological
17	which is being submitted to the park and also to make
18	it a National historic site.
19	THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you.
20	Dolores Marchand?
21	MS. DOLORES MARCHAND: I cede my time.
22	THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you.
23	Diana Largen?
24	MS. DIANA LARGEN: Yes, I'm going to cede my
25	time also. It's all been covered.

T	THE CHAIRMAN: Clndy and Dennis Simone.
2	Cindy? Dennis? No?
3	Lara Drordon?
4	MS. LARA DRORDON: Cede my time.
5	THE CHAIRMAN: Rich McKenna. Rich?
6	MR. RICHARD MCKENNA: How you doing, folks?
7	My name is Rich McKenna. I live in Penaluna Road and
8	I've lived up there just about 35, 30 years now.
9	There's two questions I've got to ask. How
10	is this a school of higher learning? You're rotating
11	kids in, it's 200 students every month and rotating
12	them back out again.
13	THE CHAIRMAN: They're going to come back.
14	MR. RICHARD MCKENNA: Yeah, they keep on
15	coming back. So to me this is like what this
16	basically is a religious training facility.
17	THE CHAIRMAN: Well, it's a rabbinical
18	college. Yeah, that's what it is.
19	THE DEFENDANT: Still, if you're a man, you
20	can say you're a woman, you're still a man, all right.
21	Come on. It's not higher education.
22	But I'm also looking at I know that road
23	very well. I have friends on it.
24	I'm retired from the New York City Police
25	Department. I did traffic. I did truck and bus
7.1	

enforcement and I did accident investigations. 1 You're going to bring four 60-foot -- 60-2 passenger buses up there, you are going to turn it 3 into a one-way street every time you do it. There's 4 going to have to be cops there. That costs the Town 5 money. 6 There's going to be a lot of traffic there 7 and a lot more traffic than they're willing to admit 8 to. And it always happens that way. That's it. I'm 9 10 done. THE CHAIRMAN: John Banyo? John? 11 MR. JOHN BANYO: Mr. Chairman, with your 12 agreement, I'd like our supervisor to go first and I 13 would like to follow him. 14 THE CHAIRMAN: He is right after you here? 15 16 MR. JOHN BANYO: Yes. THE CHAIRMAN: Mr. Supervisor. So you are 17 David McMillen? 18 I am, sir. 19 MR. DAVID MCMILLEN: Good evening, Mr. Chairman and Planning 20 Board members. 21 I'm just going to bring a couple of things 22 technical to you in regards to the EAF, which would be 23

the Part 1 EAF on page five.

I believe I sent you guys an extensive

24

2.0

letter. You guys got it back in March, March 14th. I think that I was on the phone with Mr. McConnell for quite some time on that one. One of your members called me. We spoke for like an hour on the phone.

We'll talk about that later.

BOARD MEMBER MCCONNELL: I'm McConnell and I don't remember that.

MR. DAVID MCMILLEN: You know, maybe it wasn't you. Maybe I just have to say I wrote -BOARD MEMBER SHOWALTER: That was me. Nice to meet you, Dave.

THE DEFENDANT: That was you, okay. Showalter.

As the Tuxedo Supervisor obviously I have to protect my citizens from impact. And the particular section of the EAF, it notes that the sewer system will have limited impact. It says there is no impact to the project. And it's noted as saying that is so.

However, in a response from Veolia, they're claiming that's a 4.3 million dollar upgrade to that sewer system.

Now, how does that impact my residents? If it's not a privatized connection, that 4.3 million dollars will come back against their sewer bills.

THE CHAIRMAN: Absolutely not. Absolutely

1 not. 2 MR. DAVID MCMILLEN: It will not, okay. Just bringing it up. 3 THE CHAIRMAN: That's a very good point but 4 5 absolutely not. There's no way. 6 MR. DAVID MCMILLEN: That's how it works in our sewer district. Just bringing it to your 7 8 attention. 9 THE CHAIRMAN: Absolutely. 10 MR. DAVID MCMILLEN: Number two, there is no 11 mutual aid agreement between Tuxedo and Warwick. 12 I just found out from the fire department, so we need 13 to reengage and get that done, okay. 14 THE CHAIRMAN: I spoke to Tuxedo and 15 Greenwood Lake personally. 16 MR. DAVID MCMILLEN: Yeah, well, I'm saying 17 to you that this morning, when I spoke to Company One 18 president, he wasn't aware of one. 19 If you have documents to prove otherwise, 20 then certainly I'll take them into consideration. 21 THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you, sir. 22 MR. DAVID MCMILLEN: And lastly, as a 23 citizen, there's been some pretty good arguments here

I've been a member of the Planning Board for

24

25

today.

many, many years in Tuxedo. And it's adding up as a positive declaration in my opinion.

So thank you, everyone here. Have a good night.

THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you.

You, sir, John.

MR. JOHN BANYO: I'm John Banyo. I'm the Chairman of the Town of Tuxedo Planning Board.

I'd like to point out one item on the Full EIF Part 2, question three, impact on surface water and the answer was no.

We would like you to take another look at that since Sterling Lake is very close to this site.

You can almost see it I think at this time of the year with the leaves off the trees. So that was one thing that I wanted to bring up.

And also on the Part 2 there were 22 items indicated as large or moderate impacts. I just want to get that on the record.

There's a state agency called The Dormitory
Authority of the State of New York, DASNY. And you
did not refer this project to that authority of the
state, and I think they might want to be informed of
this project.

Because I looked -- and I'm by no means an

1 expert on that authority -- but looking on their 2 website they do have guidelines for fire safety, the 3 number of students per room and so on. So that's --THE CHAIRMAN: That's why we have our 5 professionals to do that due diligence also, as well б as you do. 7 MR. JOHN BANYO: Okay. Thank you on that 8 one. 9 And then, finally, I want to present this to 10 our full Planning Board at our main meeting, and I 11 don't think I need a referral from your Board to do 12 that, do I? 13 THE CHAIRMAN: For what? 14 MR. JOHN BANYO: Just so they know all the 15 recent submissions to this Board so that they can --16 they might have other concerns that we would certainly 17 bring to your attention at the next -- your next 18 meeting. 19 THE CHAIRMAN: Talk to your legal. 20 the lead agency on this project. 21 MR. JOHN BANYO: No, we understand that. We 22 understand that. 23 THE CHAIRMAN: And didn't we --24 MR. JOHN BANYO: You sent all your

information to the Planning Board.

1	THE CHAIRMAN: So you have that?
2	MR. JOHN BANYO: We do. But we haven't
3	THE CHAIRMAN: Did the Planning Board review
4	it?
5	MR. JOHN BANYO: Not as a full board, no.
6	THE CHAIRMAN: That's on you. I would say
7	to take it if you want to bring that to your Board I
8	think
9	MR. JOHN BANYO: We can do that?
10	THE CHAIRMAN: Absolutely.
11	MR. JOHN BANYO: Okay. I just wanted
12	to let you know that we'll be doing that.
13	THE CHAIRMAN: I thought you would,
14	absolutely. Thank you.
15	MR. JOHN BANYO: All right. Thank you.
16	THE CHAIRMAN: Dave McMillan?
17	MR. DAVID MCMILLEN: That's me. I was on
18	there twice.
19	THE CHAIRMAN: Sorry. You were on it twice.
20	Oh, you're good. You're almost as good as Lee.
21	MR. JOHN BANYO: How is that?
22	THE CHAIRMAN: Manny J. Rebelo. Manny? No.
23	Ross Winegar. Ross? No.
24	Kenneth English.
25	MR. KENNETH ENGLISH: Good evening. My name

is Kenneth English; 42 Cross Ridge Road, Tuxedo, New York, which is just up Long Meadow Road from the site in question.

Chairman Astorino, Board Members Kennedy.

Chairman Astorino, Board Members Kennedy, Showalter, McConnell, Garby -- and Purcell?

THE CHAIRMAN: You got 'em.

THE CHAIRMAN: You got 'em.

MR. KENNETH ENGLISH: You still with us?

MR. KENNETH ENGLISH: God bless you all.

I'm here tonight to advocate for a deeper dive into the analysis of this development plan that would be triggered by a positive declaration.

I'm -- so much of what I wanted to say has been said so I'll try not to repeat.

But I heard tonight about traffic, transportation expectations and what's anticipated.

I heard school buses only. Four school buses I think I heard, 50-passenger school buses. I'm not expecting an answer to these questions but how would that be monitored?

And what are the consequences if a violation is observed? Are the transportation expectation conditions embedded in the Town Code as part of the special permit? Would these expectations be a condition that must be adhered to in perpetuity?

The inclusion of these requirements seems very prudent. The mechanism for enforcement seems challenging at best. Code without enforcement is a toothless tiger.

These roads are also used by pedestrians and are partially marked as trails. So school buses going down there, big concern.

Also stating that they'll be no children on the site, again kind of difficult to enforce.

Um, I'm trying not to repeat here. So the potential impact of a residential college in the middle of this oasis requires a positive declaration under SEOR.

And obviously you guys have done a lot of work on this and very much appreciated.

I think, though, getting it right requires a really deeper dive that would come from a positive declaration.

So thank you very much for your hard work on this.

THE CHAIRMAN: Marty and Karen Pfeiffer.

MR. MARTIN PFEIFFER: Good evening. My name is Martin Pfeiffer. I'm a resident of Sterling Forest in Warwick. I have lived here for 28 years with my wife Karen.

On a personal note, I listened to the response of police and fire fighters. As a former police, I have an idea a little bit about that.

The closest police agency is 60 miles away from this location. This is from a higher institute of learning, a school which is a threat.

On a fire fighter note, it's a fully volunteer agency. Sterling Forest, the first firefighter -- Karen, where are you? Is my wife. My wife will be the first one through that door because she lives 300 feet from that facility. So be it known.

On a larger scale, tonight I'm representing the -- presenting to the Board documents that reflect the will of the individuals in Sterling Forest State Park, from far and wide, who signed the name to statement that urged the Warwick Planning Board to deny the proposal, to issue a positive declaration requiring a Full Environmental Impact with public scoping.

As a person who visits and values Sterling

Forest State Park, I'm deeply disturbed by the

proposal to construct a residential complex for

200-plus students on a privately-owned land situated

within this tranquil park. It is important to protect

the ecological integrity of Sterling Forest.

1.2

We believe that a residential college does not belong in the middle of a state park.

In the past few years the park has already experienced a number of threats, a microburst causing major damage to the trails, and the Jennings Creek fire which significantly impacted human life, flora and fauna.

In the past the property under NYU was used only as a research laboratory with 25 to 50 people, graduate students working there. It did not house students and residents.

Therefore, creating this college of 200-plus students will be a huge increase in population, a change to use.

The Warwick Planning Board must hold the proposed residential college to the most rigorous possible standards of environmental review in New York, demanding a thorough Draft Environmental Impact Statement, admitting the public input.

Herewith, I have over 2,000 signatures. Let me remind you that as a Committee under the umbrella of the Town of Warwick, you are here to represent us, these people, and to protect our interests, not the interest of this Applicant.

By these 2,000 people having expressed concern about this project in one way or another, the Planning Board is in a fine position tonight to issue a positive declaration to satisfy the public's clearly argued and expressed appeal to save Sterling Forest.

Not to do so would be arbitrary and capricious on the part of the Planning Board.

Thank you very much.

THE CHAIRMAN: Excuse me. Excuse me. I want -- thank you.

Laura Rainoff.

MS. LAURA RAINOFF: Hello. My name is Laura Rainoff. I have for 27 years lived in the town, in the neighborhood of Laurel Ridge, literally on the border of Sterling Forest State Park in the Town of Tuxedo.

I want to address specifically the Environmental Assessment Form Part 2, prepared by consultants for you, and the very first section impact on land.

That Part 2 marks no less than four categories as capable of producing a moderate to large impact on land.

A positive declaration of significance under SEQR is advised if only a single incidence of -- in

the EAF Part 2 the Board indicated four such impacts on the land alone.

The response that the Board permitted from the Applicant, the so-called draft expanded EAF part 3 says little more than we'll be careful. It uses a lot of words to say that and provides no real guarantees of care.

Based on the information made available to you and the assessment you made last November in Part 2 of the EAF, this Board must either issue a positive declaration of significance, and require a full EIS or deny the proposal.

Thank you for your time.

THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you.

Jason McCleaver.

MR. JASON MCCLEAVER: Yeah, I'm going to take a pass on this.

THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you. Donna Douglas. Donna?

MS. DONNA DOUGLAS: Hi. Donna Douglas.

First, I'd like to state I too am a transfer from South Blooming Grove. So my concern is the impact on the environment, the endangered species, but also the traffic impact.

I lived through an experience in Blooming